(Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

19 Nagavala
20 Anandur
21 Gangaralachatra
22 Kallur Nagenahalli
23 Sahukarahundi
24 Doddamargowdanahalli
25 Komarbedu
26 Chikkanahalli
27 Hosakote
28 Dadakallahalli
29 Ratnahalli
30 Erappanakoppalu
31 Jaipura
32 Baradanapura
33 Mavinahalli
34 Harohalli
35 Sarvamanyakallahalli
36 Maddur
37 Talur
38 Doddakatur
39 Marudagahalli
40 Marballi
41 Toreyanakaturu
42 Udboor
43 Dhanagalli
44 Gopalpura
45 Madhalli
46 Beerihundi
47 Martikyathanahalli
48 Sindhuvalli
49 Bythahalli
50 Chikkakanya
51 Doddakanya
52 Dadadahalli
53 Kadakola
54 Doora
55 Ayarahalli
56 Bhaktharahalli
57 Chikkahalli
58 Chatnahalli
59 Hadjana
60 Choranahalli
61 Jattagalli
62 Kiralu
63 Keelanapura
64 Lalithadripura
65 Mellahalli
66 Siddaramanahundy
67 Someswarapura
68 Uttanahalli
69 Vajamangala
70 Varakodu
71 Varuna
72 Duddagere
73 Chikkegowdanahundi
74 Marasettahalli
75 Murubinahalli
76 Rayanahundi
77 Badaganahundi
78 Koodanahalli
79 Nadanahalli
80 Settynayakanahalli
81 Harohalli
82 Taluru
83 Sindhuvalli
84 Doora
85 Kadakola
86 Bhaktharahalli
87 Vajamangala
88 Varuna
89 Kochanahalli
90 Harohalli
91 Chatnahalli
92 Kuppegala

4 Aided Kannada Schools (10)—
1 Manchegowdanakoppalu
2 Erappanakoppalu
3 Bommenahalli
4 Doddahundi
5 Salanahundi
6 Daripura
7 Anagalli
8 Kellahalli
9 Muduki Chikkanahundi
10 Varuna

Discrimination between House to House in furnishing information.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—Yesterday, I saw that in the other House where the Police (Second Amendment) Bill was passed, copies of the judgment of the High Court, to which reference has been made when the motion for taking the Bill into consideration was made in this House, had been furnished to the Members of the other House. But here in this House when the matter came up, the plea of urgency was put forth in the House and my friend the Home Minister was not prepared to do more than place a single copy which he seemed to have had with him on the table of the House. And that did place, I must say, a severe limitation upon the actual use to which one copy of the judgment may be put by the Members of this House. There are one hundred Hon'ble Members in this House and forty Hon'ble Members in the other

House. May I suggest, Sir, that all these 100 Members have the same responsibility for enacting legislation with proper information as their background and that the Minister should not, if I may say so, make any discrimination between the Members of this House and the Members of the other House ?

*Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Sir, it is no doubt true that copies of the judgment were furnished to the Members of the Upper House. I think, it is not the full judgment but some extracts. I am subject to correction. It happened this way. I made a similar plea there also as I pleaded before this House that, in view of the extreme urgency, I am prepared to place a copy of this judgment for the use of the Members. But this House in its goodness was kind enough to comply with my request. This House did rot insist, as the Members of the other House insisted, that the Members should be given a printed copy of the judgment. . . .

A MEMBER.—We are all good boys !

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I never said so. I pleaded with you and you were good enough to comply with my request and there is no idea of discriminating between this House and the other House. I put up the same plea there, but since there was insistence and I was racing for time, as desired by them, I got copies of the judgment printed and delivered to them as per the direction of the Chairman. The urgency of it was felt there also and the Hon'ble House perhaps is aware that the other House was good enough to sit late in the night and pass the Bill. I assure the House that no discrimination is intended on behalf of the Government. It is not my idea.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I hope the explanation is acceptable to the Hon'ble Member, Sri Rama Rao.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I do not like to make any comment on the explanation given by my friend, the Home Minister. But I still feel that this House was also entitled to have these copies of the judgment. I am sure the Home Minister does intend that no scope for an observation of the kind that I have made will arise in future.

Discussion on Questions Nos. 121 and 156 re: Retrenchment of Special Revenue Inspectors.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri G. Duggappa has given notice under Rule 39 to raise discussion on the subject matter of questions Nos. 121 and 156 regarding the retrenchment of certain posts of Special Revenue Inspectors answered on the floor of the House on 21st July 1953 by the Chief Minister. The notice has been admitted and the discussion will take place at the conclusion of the business on Thursday, the 30th July 1953.

ELECTION TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I move :

"That this Assembly do proceed to the election of four Members to the Committee of Privileges in accordance with rule 171 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is : "That this Assembly do proceed to the election of four Members to the Committee of Privileges in accordance with rule 171 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair desires to inform the House that for the purpose of election of four members to the Committee of Privileges, the office of the Secretary will be open to receive notice of candidature up to 3 p.m. on Wednesday, the 29th July 1953 and that the election, if necessary, will take place on Saturday, the 1st August 1953 in the Assistant Secretary's room between the hours of 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. The election will be conducted as laid down in sub-rule (2) of Rule 171 of the Rules of